APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE

St. Johns Township Zoning Township Office, 205 NW 2nd St Pennock, MN 320-599-4546

Version: March 2010

Applicant			
First Mailing Address	MI City:	Last State	: Zip
Property Address			·
Lake			Section
Tax Parcel Number			
Legal Description (from Deed):			
Explain Request Here:			
Applicant hereby agrees to have property stal I hereby certify with my signature that all dat to the best of my knowledge. NOTICE: Any granted pursuant to this application may be pand 2-9 of the St. Johns Township Zoning Or	a on my application forms, violation of the conditions rosecuted as a misdemeanor	plans and specifica established in conj	tions are true and correct unction with the Variance
Signature of Property Owner (legal name)		Date	DOB
Signature of Applicant (legal name)		Date	DOB

This variance must be utilized within one (1) year.

This variance does not constitute a building permit, sewage system permit, grading/filing permit, or the like. Separate permits may be applied for and obtained in order to accomplish all of the goals of your project.

The issuance if this variance does not negate the need to secure other permits from other local units of government, state agencies or federal agencies who may also have jurisdiction over portions of your project.

FINDINGS OF FACT SUPPORTING/DENYING AN AREA VARIANCE

An area variance may be granted only where the strict enforcement of township zoning controls will result in "practical difficulty". A determination that a "practical difficulty" exists is based upon the consideration of the following criteria as defined by the Minnesota Supreme Court in <u>In re the Matter of the Decision of County of Otter Tail Board of Adjustment to Deny a Variance to Cyril Stadsvold and Cynara Stadsvold.</u>

Is the request a substantial variation from the requirements of the zoning ordinance? Why or why not?	Why or why not?	
Will the request have an adverse effect on government services? Why or why not?		
Will the requested variance effect a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood or will it result i substantial detriment to neighboring properties? Why or why not?	i n .	
Is there another feasible method to alleviate the need for a variance? (Economic considerations play a role in analysis under this factor) Why or why not?	the	
How did the need for a variance arise? Did the landowner create the need for the variance? Explain.		
In light of all of the above factors, would denying a variance serve the interests of justice? Why or why not?	?	

The BOA should weigh and balance each of the elements when deciding whether the variance application should be granted.

Version: March 2010

SITE PLAN

Name Tax Parcel Number						
		Informa	ation to be included	on site plan		
Location & s	ze of ALL existing	& proposed structu	res Distance fror	n lake, road, proper	ty lines	
Location of v	vell & septic	Location	on of roads & driveways			
Overall Height	Side Wal	l Height Fi	ll Soil Brought In	Removed		
			asement Yes No		mercial Purposes Yo	es No
Minimum Lo	west Floor Eleva	tion (including b	asement) above the	Ordinary High V	Vater Level (OH)	WL) or Highest
Known Water	Level (HKWL)	whichever is hig	gher. OHWL or HKV	WL Elevation	First Floor	Elevation
Minimum	Minimum	Minimum	Minimum	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Prop. Line	Road	Lake	Rear Yard	Story Height	Building	Impermeable
Setbacks	Setbacks	Setbacks	Setbacks		Height	Surface Coverage
R-1, RM 10 ft.	65 ft.	Gen. Dev. 75 ft.	A-1, A-2 10 ft	,	A-1, A-2 30 ft.	A-1 & A-2 30%
Nat. Env. Lake 20 ft.	80 ft.	Rec. Dev. 100 ft.	R-1,R-2,R-3,RM (Dw) 40 ft	. R-1, RM 2 1/2	R-1, RM 25 ft.	R-1 & RM 25%
A-1, A-2 10 ft.	100 ft.	Nat. Envir. 150 ft.	R-1,R-2,R-3,RM (Acc) 10 ft	t. R-2 & R-3 2 1/2	R-2 25 ft.	R-2, & R-3 30%
R-2, R-3 10 ft.	130 ft.		CI (Com.) 10 ft	! .	R-3 25 ft.	CI 75%
CI 10 ft.	150 ft.				CI 40 ft.	12

NORTH **↑**